

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2550
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104-4303
TELEPHONE: 213-629-7705
FACSIMILE 415-281-2010

David A. Tartaglio (State Bar No. 117232)
d.tartaglio@mpglaw.com
Catherine M. Lee (State Bar No. 197197)
c.lee@mpglaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE
MEDICINE and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

OPTIONS NATIONAL FERTILITY
REGISTRY, a California Corporation, and
JESSICA and class of plaintiffs believed to be
similarly situated (women egg donors whose
eggs were allegedly given to unknown and
unauthorized recipients via "egg sharing"
without their informed consent, in violation of
an existing legally binding contract),

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR
REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE; SOCIETY
FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE
TECHNOLOGY; DOES 1 through 102
(REGISTERED INFERTILITY
PHYSICIANS) AND DOES 103 through 1500
(FERTILITY CLINICS AND ASSOCIATED
PROFESSIONAL DEFENDANTS),

Defendants.

Case No. C 07 5238 JF

Complaint Filed: October 12, 2007

**STIPULATION REGARDING ADR AND
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER SELECTING ADR
PROCESS**

Trial Date:

None.

1 Plaintiffs and defendants, through their undersigned counsel, report that they have met and
2 conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8
3 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

4 The parties agree to participate in Early Neutral Evaluation with respect to this case.

5
6 DATED: January 13, 2009

THE LAW OFFICES OF STANLEY G. HILTON

7
8 By: 

Stanley G. Hilton
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

9
10 DATED: January 13, 2009

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

11
12 By: 

Catherine M. Lee
Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE
MEDICINE and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to Early Neutral Evaluation. Said Early Neutral Evaluation shall be performed within ninety (90) days after defendants have answered plaintiffs' Amended Complaint, if any.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 1/14/09


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DISTRICT